

Supplementary information:

Quantal Basis of Secretory Granule Biogenesis and Inventory Maintenance, the Surreptitious Nano-machine Behind It

Ilan Hammel¹ and Isaac Meilijson²

1 Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pathology, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel

2 Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, School of Mathematical Sciences, Department of Statistics and Operations Research, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel

E-mail address:

Ilan Hammel ilanham@patholog.tau.ac.il

Isaac Meilijson isaco@math.tau.ac.il

Correspondence may be addressed to either of the two authors.

Telephone and fax:

Ilan Hammel: +972-3-640-8408 (Tel) +972-3-640-9141 (Fax)

Isaac Meilijson: +972-3-640-8826 (Tel) +972-3-640-9357 (Fax)

Supplementary information chapters:

**Page
Number**

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. The time at which simple random walk achieves a given draw-up or reaches a given level | 2 |
| 2. The time to reach a level | 2 |
| 3. The time to achieve a draw-up | 3 |
| 4. The general case: Lundberg's bound for the expected time to a draw-down | 4 |
| 5. Appendix References | 5 |

Program lists:

- | | |
|-------------------------|----|
| 1. HM2014_simuLIFO.m | 6 |
| 2. HM2014_mixedsecret.m | 10 |

1 The time at which simple random walk achieves a given draw-up or reaches a given level.

The CUSUM method and integrate-and-fire neuronal management are so fundamental that we opt for illustrating first the ideas involved on a stochastic case where Markovian recursive techniques can be elementarily applied, yet yield exact answers.

2 **The time to reach a level.** Consider (the simple) random walk starting at zero, whose increments X_i are either +1 (with probability $p > 1/2$) or -1 (with the complementary probability $1-p$).

Let T be the first hitting time of +1. This time is 1 plus a remainder. If the first increment is +1, the remainder is zero. If -1, the random walk is at level -1 and the remainder is distributed like the sum of two independent copies of T , the time to re-reach zero from -1 plus the time to reach +1 from 0.

Hence, **T has the same distribution as $1 + J*(T1+T2)$** , where J is the obvious indicator. This leads to

$E[T] = 1+E[J]*2*E[T] = 1+2*(1-p)*E[T]$, or equivalently **$E[T] = 1/(2*p-1) = 1/E[increment]$** . Now we appeal to the method of partitioning variance (the same as calculating the moment of inertia of a body composed of sub-bodies) as $Var[T] = E[Var[T/J]] + Var[E[T/J]]$ to evaluate $Var[T]$ from $E[T|J] = 1+J*2*E[T]$ and $Var[T|J] = (J^2)*2*Var[T]$ as $Var[T] = 2*Var[T]*(1-p) + 4*E[T]^2*p*(1-p)$. I.e., $(2*p-1)*Var[T] = 4*E[T]^2*p*(1-p)$ or **$Var[T] = 4*p*(1-p)/(2*p-1)^3 = Var[increment]/(E[increment])^3$** . Clearly, then, the mean and variance of the time T_n to reach some positive integer threshold n are $E[T_n]=n*E[T]=n/E[increment]$ and $Var[T_n]=n*Var[T]=n*Var[increment]/(E[increment])^3$ respectively.

After this elementary but exact illustrative derivation, here is a general argument. If S_m is a random walk starting at zero, whose increments X_i have mean M and variance V , then the mean-zero processes $S_m - m*M$ and $(S_m - m*M)^2 - m*V$ are *Martingales*, and as such preserve the mean value

zero when deterministic time m is replaced by random stopping time τ (with some restrictions). Let τ_n be the first time m when $S_m \geq n$ (which we approximate by $S_m = n$ ignoring the excess). Then $0 \approx n - E[\tau_n]*M$ and $0 = n^2 - 2*n*E[\tau]*M + E[\tau_n^2]*M^2 - E[\tau_n]*V$, from which the earlier presented formulas $E[\tau_n] = n/M$ and $Var[\tau_n] = n*V/M^3$ are recovered.

3 The time to achieve a draw-up. Returning to simple random walk, let DU_n be the first time at which the random walk is n units above its minimal value so far. For example, DU_1 is the first time the increment is +1, a geometrically distributed random variable with mean $E[DU_1] = 1/p$, bounded from above by 2 and much smaller than $E[T_1] = 1/(2*p-1)$ for p just above $1/2$, even if we expect $E[DU_n]$ and $E[T_n]$ to become close for large n . Here is an argument for an easy exact evaluation of the sequence $A_n = E[DU_n]$. It takes mean time A_{n-1} to attain draw-up $n-1$, at which time the random walk is at the upper end $MIN+n-1$ of the interval it has visited $[MIN \ MIN+n-1]=[minimum_value \ current_value]$. The random walk now proceeds (for a length of time whose mean we denote by S_{n-1}) until reaching MIN or $MIN+n$, whichever comes first. If $MIN+n$ is reached first, the draw-up is n . If MIN is reached first, the quest for draw-up n starts all over. Let Q_{n-1} be the probability that the random walk (starting at zero playing the role of $MIN+n-1$) will reach level +1 before reaching level -($n-1$). By the above argument, $A_n = A_{n-1} + S_{n-1} + (1-Q_{n-1})*A_n$ so $A_n = (A_{n-1} + S_{n-1})/Q_{n-1}$. This is a recursive formula for the sequence A based on the sequences S and Q , much quoted under the name *Gambler's Ruin Problem*: A gambler with initial fortune k bets \$1 at a move in Red & Black until reaching a goal $N (>k)$ or going broke. If the winning probability per move is p , then the probability of reaching the goal is $P_k = (1-((1-p)/p)^k)/(1-((1-p)/p)^N)$ and the expected number of moves of the entire game is $(N*P_k-k)/(2*p-1)$.

In our application, $N=n$ and $k=n-1$ so $Q_{n-1} = (1-((1-p)/p)^{n-1})/(1-((1-p)/p)^n)$ and $S_{n-1} = (n*Q_{n-1}-n+1)/(2*p-1)$. Now the recursive relation $A_n = (A_{n-1} + S_{n-1})/Q_{n-1}$ can be re-written as $(A_{n-1} - n/(2*p-1))/(1-((1-p)/p)^{n-1}) = (1-((1-p)/p)^{n-1})/(1-((1-p)/p)^n)$.

$p)/(p)^n = (A_{n-1} - (n-1)/(2*p-1))/(1 - ((1-p)/p)^{n-1})$, i.e., it has the same value for all n (!). Hence, it is equal to its value at $n=1$: $(A_1 - 1/(2*p-1))/(1 - ((1-p)/p)^1) = ((1/p) - 1/(2*p-1))/(1 - ((1-p)/p)^1) = -(1-p)/(2*p-1)^2$. Finally,

$$A_n = n/(2*p-1) + ((1-p)/(2*p-1)^2) * ((1-p)/p)^n - (1-p)/(2*p-1)^2.$$

If $p > 1/2$, A_n grows indeed *linearly* like $n/(2*p-1)$ but if $p < 1/2$, A_n grows *exponentially* like $((1-p)/p)^n$. This is the rationale for the CUSUM statistic method of detection: By a minor delay in the detection of the post-change mode, it is possible to reduce drastically the rate of false detection.

4 The general case: Lundberg's bound for the expected time to a draw-down. Let the increments V of a random walk have positive mean $E[V]$ and *adjustment coefficient* α (the unique positive number α for which $E[\exp(-\alpha*V)] = 1$, a useful concept in actuarial risk theory (Asmussen 2000). Its inverse $1/\alpha$ is termed *index of riskiness* of V by Aumann and Serrano 2008). Then (Asmussen 2000, Meilijson 2009) the expected maximal height achieved by the random walk prior to experiencing draw-down DD is at least $(\exp(\alpha*DD) - 1)/\alpha$. Subtract DD to obtain the expected height upon achieving drawn-down DD and divide by the expected increment to convert height to time, to obtain the lower bound $(\exp(\alpha*DD) - \alpha*DD)/(\alpha*E[V])$ for the expected time to achieve draw-down DD. This bound is quite tight: Meilijson (2009) found yet a third constant $C > 1$ defined by the distribution of X such that the expected time is *at most* $(C*\exp(\alpha*DD) - 1 - \alpha*DD)/E[V]$. Log likelihood ratio random walks are special: if X has density f and $V = -\log(g(X)/f(X))$ then $\alpha = 1$, whatever the densities f and g are. For any such case, then, the expected basal-mode number of granules to a draw-up DU is approximately $\exp(DU)/KLD(F,G)$. Hence, if this expected number is to be MGFA (mean granules to a false alarm), then $DU \approx \log(MGFA * KLD(F,G))$. Switching now hats from basal to evoked

mode and applying a formula above (in this Appendix), we obtain the formula $MGD = E[T_{DU}] \approx DU/E[increment] = DU/KLD(G,F) \approx \log(MGFA * KLD(F,G)) / KLG(G,F)$ stated in Section 4.

Appendix References

- Asmussen S. (2000) Ruin Probabilities. Advanced Series on Statistical Science & Applied Probability. World Scientific, River Edge, NJ. MR1794582
- Aumann JR, Serrano R. An economic index of riskiness. *J Polit Econo.* 2008;116:810–836.
- Meilijson I. On the adjustment coefficient, drawdowns and Lundberg-type bounds for random walk. *Ann Appl Probab.* 2009;19:1015-1025.

```
% HM2014_simuLIFO.m by Ilan Hammel and Isaac Meilijson, June 2014

% This program simulates unit-addition quantal granule dynamics.
% It should be preceded by HM2014_mixedsecret.m
% input batchsize (or uncooment line 38)
% input simulation sample size ITER
% maximal quantal size QN, desired fraction evoksecret of evoked
% secretion out of the list in HM2014_mixedsecret.m, where
% evoksecret=1 means pure basal secretion and evoksecret=2 is the
% smallest fraction in the menu, etc.
% Example: batchsize=25;QN=20;evoksecret=3;ITER=1000000;

% Granule quantal size is from 1 to QN. In order to induce a finite
% representation of states, polymers of size QN can't grow. Let QN be
% large enough so that size QN is in practice not reached.

% NEXT EVENT SIMULATION dynamics are as follows:
% We list all MM events that can happen and write down the rate of
each,
% r(1), r(2), ..., r(MM), with R=sum r(i) and pp(i)=r(i)/R. Then the
next
% event will occur at an exponentially distributed time with
parameter R.
% This time is simulated as -(1/R)*log(U1) where U1 is U[0,1]
distributed,
% and the name of the event is i if
% pp(1)+...+pp(i-1)<U2<=pp(1)+...+pp(i-1)+pp(i), where U2 is U[0,1]
% distributed, independent of U1.
% The state is updated after each event.

% The "events that can happen" are
% ARRIVAL (addition of one monomer to state(1)).
% EXIT from any polymer size present. (Subtract 1 from state(.))
% GROWTH at any polymer size present except QN. (Subtract 1 from
state(.)
% and add 1 to state(.+1))

% The vector V is the "standard", constant rate vector given by ARR
rate
% and the terms lam*n^beta and mu*n^gamma. This vector corresponds to
the
% columns of M. The actual rates are given by the products
M(i,n)*V(n).

% The rest is book-keeping
clear count count1 countex countex1 ctime dtime evoktime evoktrig
clear hcount hrates hstate lam mu name namec probs propnew rates
```

```
clear state statec
musim=paramix(evoksecret,2);
mu=musim;
lamsim=paramix(evoksecret,1);
lam=lamsim;
%batchsize=20;
arriv1=0;arriv2=0;arriv3=0;
bet=-(2/3)*(Kbeta-1);gam=-(2/3)*(Kgamma-1);
state=zeros(1,QN);
time=0;
% propevok in 38 and paramixexit in 40 can be adjusated to a desired
evoked
% secretion fraction
propevok=evokprop(evoksecret);
eps2=-log(1-1/batchsize);
eps1=(propevok/batchsize)/(1+paramixexit(evoksecret));
ITER1=ITER+100;
count=zeros(1,QN);
ctime=zeros(ITER,1);
statec=zeros(ITER,QN);
count1=count;
evoktime=zeros(ITER,6);
namec=ctime;
dtime=ctime;
evoktrig=(rand(ITER,1)<eps1);
DURAT=0;NURAT=0;
for i=1:ITER
    if evoktrig(i)==1
        durat=1+fix(-
log(rand(1,1))/eps2);DURAT=DURAT+durat;NURAT=NURAT+1;
        evoktime(i:i+durat-1)=ones(durat,1);
    end
end
REX=mu*((1:QN).^gam);
REX1=nu*(1-propevok)*(ones(1,QN));
RGR=lam*((1:QN).^bet);
RAR=nu;RGR(QN)=0;
for i=1:ITER
    rates=[RAR*(i<ITER1)*(1-evoktime(i)) (REX+(1000*REX1/sum(state)-
REX)*evoktime(i)).*state (i<ITER1)*RGR.*state*(1-evoktime(i))];
    rate=rates*ones(2*QN+1,1);
    probs=cumsum([rates/rate]);
    U=rand;V=rand;
    namec(i)=1+sum((U>probs));
    name=namec(i);
    if i>100
        dtime(i)=-(1/rate)*log(V);
```

```

ctime(i)=ctime(max(1,i-1))+dtim(i)*(1-0*evoktime(i));
end
if name==1
    state(1)=state(1)+1;
    arriv1=arriv1+1;
elseif name<QN+2
    state(name-1)=max(0,state(name-1)-1);
    count(name-1)=count(name-1)+1;
    count1(name-1)=count1(name-1)+evoktime(i);
elseif name>QN+1 & name<2*QN+1
    state(name-1-QN)=max(0,state(name-1-QN)-1);
    state(name-QN)=state(name-QN)+1;
    arriv2=arriv2+1;
end
statec(i,:)=state;
end
countex=count/sum(count);
countex1=count1/sum(count1);

hstate=zeros(QN+1,QN);hcount=hstate;
hstate(1,:)=state;
for ii=1+ITER:ITER1+ITER
    hrates=[ [0 1 zeros(1,QN-1) ]'*RAR (ones(QN+1,1)*REX).*hstate
    (ones(QN+1,1)*RGR).*hstate];
    hrate=ones(1,QN+1)*hrates*ones(2*QN+1,1);
    hprobs=[hrates/hrate];
    U=rand;PP=0;QQ=0;
    V=rand;dtim(ii)=-(1/hrate)*log(V);
    %ctime(ii)=ctime(max(1,ii-1))+dtim(ii);
    for i=1:QN+1
        for j=1:2*QN+1
            PP=PP+hprobs(i,j);
            if U<PP & QQ==0
                QQ=1;i0=i;j0=j;
            end
        end
    end
    if j0==1
        hstate(2,1)=hstate(2,1)+1;
        arriv1=arriv1+1;arriv3=arriv3+1;
    elseif j0<QN+2
        hstate(i0,j0-1)=hstate(i0,j0-1)-1;
        hcount(i0,j0-1)=hcount(i0,j0-1)+1;
    elseif j0>QN+1
        hstate(i0,j0-1-QN)=hstate(i0,j0-1-QN)-1;
        hstate(i0+1,j0-QN)=hstate(i0+1,j0-QN)+1;
        arriv2=arriv2+1;arriv3=arriv3+1;
    end
end

```

```

end
sum2=sum(sum(hstate.*(((1:QN+1)-1)'*ones(1, QN)))); 
sum1=sum(sum(hstate.*(ones(QN+1,1)*(1:QN)))); 
propnew(ii-ITER)=sum2/sum1;
end

megrsi=sum((1:QN).* (mean(statec))/sum(mean(statec)));
countex=count/sum(count);

Ba='SIZE    ';
Bb='STAT    ';
Bc='EXIT    ';

%if jk==1
  disp(' ')
  disp('Empirical stationary and exit distributions')
  disp('-----')
  disp([vertcat([Ba ; Bb ; Bc]) num2str([(1:QN) ;
  (mean(statec))/sum(mean(statec)) ; countex])])
  disp(' ')
  disp(' 3600mu 3600lambda secr-rate Golgi-rate Kbeta
Kgamma mean-gr-si burst size')
  disp([3600*mu 3600*lam nu [arriv1+arriv2]/max(ctime) 1-
1.5*bet 1-1.5*gam megrsi batchsize])
  %disp('-----')
%end
%clear
figure(3),figure(3),plot(ctime(1:ITER)/(3600*24),statec),grid,zoom
%clear
figure(2),figure(2),plot(ctime(1:ITER)/(3600*24),sum(statec)'),grid,
zoom
%clear
figure(2),figure(2),plot(ctime(1:ITER)/(3600*24),[sum(statec)' '
statec]),grid,zoom

%
QN=20;Kbeta=5;Kgamma=4;mol=4;nu=1;N=2000;batchsize=25;evoksecret=3;ITER=1000000;HM2014_mixedsecret, HM2014_simulIFO
% figure(1),semilogx(ctime/3600,statec),grid,zoom

```

```
% HM2014 mixedsecret.m by Ilan Hammel and Isaac Meilijson, June 2014
%input mol (mu over lambda)
%      nu (birth rate of mature unit granules = secretion rate)
%      Kbta and Kgmma
%      N (cell mean content in steady state)
% in-program: evokprop (proportion of evoked secretion in total
% secretion)
clear basalexit basalstat dfg evokprop lam lamix meansjn
clear mixexit mumix mumixraw mustat NN numix nustat paramix
clear paramixexit sjn1 sjn2 surviv survival stdsjn
TOP=50; % maximal quantal size
KKK=5; % number of options for fraction of evoked secretion
mixexit=zeros(KKK, TOP);
nustat(1)=nu;
survival(1:TOP+1, 1)=ones(TOP+1, 1);
surviv(1)=1;
mustat=mol*(1:TOP).^((-2/3)*(Kgmma-1));
lam=(1:TOP).^((-2/3)*(Kbta-1));
for i=1:TOP
    nustat(i+1)=nustat(i)*lam(i)/(lam(i)+mustat(i));
    NN(i)=nustat(i)/(lam(i)+mustat(i));
    surviv(i+1)=surviv(i)*lam(i)/(lam(i)+mustat(i));
    basalexit(i)=surviv(i)-surviv(i+1);
end
lam=lam*sum(NN)/N;
mustat=mustat*sum(NN)/N;
NN=NN*N/sum(NN);
basalstat=NN/N;
lamix=lam;
mumixraw=mustat;
for k=1:KKK, evokprop(k)=2^(k-2)/100;
    evokprop(1)=0;
mumix=mumixraw+nu*evokprop(k)/sum(NN);
numix(1)=nu;
for i=1:TOP
    numix(i+1)=numix(i)*lamix(i)/(lamix(i)+mumix(i));
    NN(i)=numix(i)/(lamix(i)+mumix(i));
end
I=1;
while sum(NN)<N
    I=I+1; S1=sum(NN);
    lamix=lamix*.999; mumixraw=mumixraw*.999;
    mumix=mumixraw+nu*evokprop(k)/sum(NN);
for i=1:TOP
    numix(i+1)=numix(i)*lamix(i)/(lamix(i)+mumix(i));
    NN(i)=numix(i)/(lamix(i)+mumix(i));
    survival(k, i+1)=survival(k, i)*lamix(i)/(lamix(i)+mumix(i));

```

```

mixexit(k,i)=survival(k,i)-survival(k,i+1);
mixexit(1,i)=surviv(i)-surviv(i+1);
sjn1(k,i)=sum(1./(lamix(1:i)+mumix(1:i)));
sjn2(k,i)=sum((1./(lamix(1:i)+mumix(1:i))).^2);
sjn1(1,i)=sum(1./(lam(1:i)+mustat(1:i)));
sjn2(1,i)=sum((1./(lam(1:i)+mustat(1:i))).^2);
end
end
S2=sum(NN);
mixstat(k,:)=NN/sum(NN);
paramix(k,:)=[lamix(1) mumixraw(1) mixstat(k,:)*(1:TOP)'];
paramixexit(k)=mixexit(k,:)*(1:TOP)';
end
top=sum( (N*basalstat>.05));
% Kullback-Leibler Divergence - expected increments of random walk
kldstex=sum(mixstat(1,1:top).*log(mixstat(1,1:top)./mixexit(1,1:top)));
kldexst=sum(mixexit(1,1:top).*log(mixexit(1,1:top)./mixstat(1,1:top)));
% Second moments of increments of random walk
kld2stex=sum(mixstat(1,1:top).*(log(mixstat(1,1:top)./mixexit(1,1:top))).^2);
kld2exst=sum(mixexit(1,1:top).*(log(mixexit(1,1:top)./mixstat(1,1:top))).^2);
% Variance of increments of random walk
varkldstex=kld2stex-kldstex^2;
varkldexst=kld2exst-kldexst^2;

if jk==1
disp('      ')
disp('      mu/lambda nu (p/sec) Kbeta   Kgamma   KLD(St,Ex) KLD(Ex,St)
STD(St,Ex)')
disp('      -----')
-----')
disp([mol nu Kbeta Kgamma kldstex kldexst sqrt(varkldstex)])
disp('      ')
disp('Stat distr under menu of evoked fraction out of total
secretion')
disp('-----')
')
disp('evoked fraction 0%          1%          2%          4%          8%')
disp([(1:top) ; mixstat(:,1:top)]')
disp('      ')
disp('Exit distr under menu of evoked fraction out of total
secretion')
disp('-----')
')

```

```

disp('evoked fraction 0%           1%           2%           4%           8%')
disp([(1:top) ; mixexit(:,1:top)])'
end

mgsbasalstat=basalstat*(1:TOP)';
mgsbasalmix=mixstat*(1:TOP)';
mgsbasalexit=basalexit*(1:TOP)';
paramixexit(1)=mgsbasalexit;
mgsexitmix=mixexit*(1:TOP)';
meansjn=sum(mixexit'.*sjn1');
stdsjn=sqrt(sum(mixexit'.*sjn2')));
Aa='lambda p/grn p/hour      ';
Ba='mu      p/grn p/hour      ';
Da='mean gr size stat       ';
Ea='mean gr size exit       ';
Fa='mean sojourn (hours)     ';
Ga='stdv sojourn (hours)     ';
%if jk==1
disp('lambda and mu (p/grn p/hour), mean stat and exit grn size, mean
and std of sojourn (in hours)')
disp('-----')
-----')
disp('evoked fraction          0%           1%           2%
4%           8%')
disp([vertcat([Aa ; Ba ; Da ; Ea ; Fa ; Ga]) num2str(diag([3600 3600
1 1 1/(3600) 1/(3600)])*[paramix paramixexit' meansjn' stdsjn']]'])
%end
disp('-----')
-----')
dfg=[kldstex sqrt(varkldstex) kldexst sqrt(varkldexst)];
mgd1=log(10^5*(6.6974+kldexst))/(1.4026+kldstex);
stdgd1=sqrt(mgd1*(varkldstex+.9^2)/(1.4026+kldstex)^2);
mgd2=log(10^5*(94.3948+kldexst))/(3.6152+kldstex);
stdgd2=sqrt(mgd2*(varkldstex+.99^2)/(3.6152+kldstex)^2);
disp('Mean, STD and burst size to detect evoked state')
disp('    MGD_R10    STD_R10    MGD+2*STD MGD_R100   STD_R100 MGD+2*STD')
disp([mgd1 stdgd1 mgd1+2*stdgd1 mgd2 stdgd2 mgd2+2*stdgd2])
% Example of input:
% mol=4;nu=1;Kbeta=7;Kgamma=7;N=2000;

```